ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Power Sources



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpowsour

### Short communication

# *In situ* Fenton-enhanced cathodic reaction for sustainable increased electricity generation in microbial fuel cells

## Li Zhuang<sup>a</sup>, Shungui Zhou<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yongtao Li<sup>b</sup>, Tinglin Liu<sup>a</sup>, Deyin Huang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Guangdong Institute of Eco-environmental and Soil Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China

<sup>b</sup> College of Natural Resources and Environment, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 August 2009 Received in revised form 4 September 2009 Accepted 4 September 2009 Available online 11 September 2009

Keywords: Microbial fuel cells Fenton's reaction Hydroxyl radicals Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/carbon felt composite cathode

#### ABSTRACT

This study reports that Fenton's reaction is capable of facilitating cathodic reaction and thus increasing the current output in microbial fuel cells (MFCs). The hydroxyl radicals (•OH) produced via Fenton's reaction are demonstrated to be vital to the enhancement of electricity generation in MFCs. In a two-chamber MFC employing expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (e-PTFE) laminated cloth as a separator, the power output is enhanced approximately four times with Fenton's reaction. However, the enhancement lasts only a short time period due to the rapid consumption of Fenton's reagents. To overcome this problem, a Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/carbon felt (CF) composite cathode is made, which results in a greater and, more importantly, sustainable power output. In the composite cathode, Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> functions as a controllably releasing Fenton iron reagent and CF functions as an air-fed cathode to electro-generate H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. This newly developed MFC with a Fenton system can ensure a continuous high power output, and also provides a potential solution to the simultaneous electricity generation and degradation of recalcitrant contaminants.

© 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Microbial fuel cells (MFCs) are a promising technology for simultaneous electricity production and wastewater treatment [1–3]. In the anode chamber, microorganisms oxidize organic substrates, releasing electrons and protons. The electrons are subsequently conducted over an external resistor towards the cathode and the protons migrate through a membrane to the cathode compartment, where the electrons and protons are consumed to reduce electron acceptors. Due to its inexhaustible availability and high redox potential, oxygen has been generally regarded as the best electron acceptor for cathodic reaction in MFCs. However, the cathodic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) can proceed through a two-electron pathway with the formation of  $H_2O_2$  [4–8], which prevents the system from delivering its all energy and constrains the performance of MFCs.

Under acidic condition,  $H_2O_2$  can react with ferrous ions (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) to generate hydroxyl radicals (•OH) via Fenton's reaction [9,10]. The produced •OH is a very powerful oxidizer with a redox potential of 2.83 V (•OH + H<sup>+</sup> + e<sup>-</sup>  $\rightarrow$  H<sub>2</sub>O). Thus, the presence of •OH in the cathode compartment would promote cathodic reaction. However, Fenton's reaction has rarely been used in fuel cells because •OH can result in degradation of a membrane [11]. Similar to many other

chemical oxidizers (such as ferricyanide, permanganate), •OH is not self-sustaining because Fenton's reaction requires a periodical replenishment of the exhausted reagents (Fe<sup>2+</sup> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), which also limits its application.

For the first time, this study provided direct evidences that Fenton's reaction can facilitate cathodic reaction and thus improve the electricity generation of an MFC. Instead of conventional ion exchange membranes, we used an expanded polytetrafluoroethylene (e-PTFE) laminated cloth as a separator in a two-chamber MFC to prevent membrane degradation. A Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/carbon felt (CF) composite cathode was made and functioned as the cathode in the MFCs. Fe<sup>2+</sup> leached from Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formed by twoelectron reduction of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> established an *in situ* Fenton's reaction, which was able to enhance MFC performance over a longer period.

#### 2. Experimental procedure

#### 2.1. Preparation of Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/CF composite cathode

The carbon felt (CF,  $4.5 \text{ cm} \times 4.5 \text{ cm}$ , Liaoyang, China) used for the composite cathode was washed for 24 h in 4 M HCl to eliminate potentially catalytic foreign compounds from the CF's surface, and the washing process was repeated four times [12]. In the following texts, we refer to such pretreated, non-catalyzed CF as NCF. The preparation of the Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF composite cathode followed the method described elsewhere [13], with slight modifications. To

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 20 87025872; fax: +86 20 87025872. *E-mail address*: sgzhou@soil.gd.cn (S. Zhou).

<sup>0378-7753/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2009 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jpowsour.2009.09.011

prepare a ferric solution and a NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution, 0.9 g FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 1.8 g NaBH<sub>4</sub> were dissolved in 100 and 40 mL distilled water, respectively. After the ultrasonic treatment of the NCF in the ferric solution for 20 min, the NaBH<sub>4</sub> solution was slowly added to reduce ferric ions into metallic iron on the NCF. The composite electrode was rinsed with deionized water thoroughly and dried in nitrogen for use. The Fe loaded onto the NCF was determined by weight method [13] and kept at a concentration of  $0.2 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  of Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the final solution.

#### 2.2. MFC configuration and operation

The MFC reactor consisted of two identical chambers separated by GORE-TEX<sup>®</sup> cloth (Zhejiang, China), which is an e-PTFE laminated compound fabric. Each cell chamber is made of plexiglass and has an effective volume of 75 mL. CF ( $4.5 \text{ cm} \times 9.0 \text{ cm}$ ) was used as anodic electrode. The anode chamber was inoculated and operated similarly to our previous work [14], and was continuously fed with brewery wastewater by a peristaltic pump at  $3.1 \text{ mL} \text{ h}^{-1}$ . The corresponding volumetric loading rate was  $4.25 \text{ g} \text{ COD} \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ d}^{-1}$ , which ensured substrate sufficiency.

In the first experiment to explore the role of Fenton's reaction in improving MFC performance, NCF was used as the cathode electrode and the cathodic electrolyte was 2% NaCl solution at pH 3.0 (adjusted by diluted HCl). The Fenton reagents were added in two different sequences: (1) an initial addition of  $0.2 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ Fe}^{2+}$  followed by  $1.0 \text{ gL}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$ ; (2) an initial addition of  $1.0 \text{ gL}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$  followed by a co-addition of  $0.2 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  Fe<sup>2+</sup> and 20 mM 2-propanol. The longterm operation of the MFC was performed with a Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF composite cathode, along with the MFCs using NCF and NCF in the presence of  $Fe^{2+}$  in the solution (denoted as  $Fe^{2+}/NCF$ ) as the cathode electrodes. For better comparison with a benchmark system, a control experiment was carried out with a MFC using NCF in the presence of  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}$  solution (20 mmol L<sup>-1</sup>, pH 7.0) (denoted as Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/NCF). The cathodic compartment was continuously sparged with air (300 mLmin<sup>-1</sup>) by using a fish pump (Harbin, China). All MFC experiments were conducted at a controlled temperature of 30 °C and atmospheric pressure.

#### 2.3. Power measurement and chemical analysis

Connected to a 1000  $\Omega$  external resistor, the cell voltage output of the MFC was continuously monitored by a 16-channel voltage collection instrument (AD8223, China). Polarization and power density curves were obtained by varying the external resistance ( $R_{\text{EX}}$ ) from 5 to 5000  $\Omega$  when the voltage output approached steadystate. The power densities were normalized to the projected surface area of the cathode (20.25 cm<sup>2</sup>).

The concentration of total iron ions ( $Fe^{2+}$  and  $Fe^{3+}$ ) in the solution was determined using 1,10-phenanthroline colorimetric assay [15]. The concentration of  $H_2O_2$  was determined by a  $H_2O_2$  colorimeter (LOVIBOND-ET8600, Germany) at 528 nm.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Evidences of enhanced current output by Fenton's reaction

The current outputs under two different situations were plotted in Fig. 1. In the first case (Fig. 1a), data showed that the initial addition of  $0.2 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ Fe}^{2+}$  increased the current from 0.29 to 0.36 mA within 15 min. The sequent addition of  $1.0 \text{ g L}^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$  quickly boosted the current output to 0.60 mA. The first current increase resulting from Fe<sup>2+</sup> was due to its reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> that was *in situ* generated from the two-electron reduction of dissolved O<sub>2</sub>, producing •OH through Fenton's reaction. The sequent addition



**Fig. 1.** The current output (mA) at an external resistance of 1000  $\Omega$ . (a) The cathodic electrolyte firstly added with 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> Fe<sup>2+</sup> followed by the addition of 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>; (b) the cathodic electrolyte firstly added with 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> followed by the co-addition of 0.2 g L<sup>-1</sup> Fe<sup>2+</sup> and 20 mM 2-propanol.

of  $H_2O_2$  intensified the Fenton's reaction and increased the production of •OH, which explained the rapid current increase after  $H_2O_2$  amendment. Meanwhile, obvious gas bubbles and red iron oxides precipitation were observed in the cathode chamber. In the second case (Fig. 1b), the initial addition of  $H_2O_2$  also enhanced the current from 0.29 to 0.36 mA, which was a consequence of  $H_2O_2$ s more efficient cathode oxygenation, in comparison with air [16]. The following co-addition of  $0.2 \text{ gL}^{-1}$  Fe<sup>2+</sup> and 20 mM 2-propanol resulted in a current increase from 0.32 to 0.46 mA, which was 40.8% less than the second current increase in the previous case. 2-Propanol, as a scavenger of •OH radicals [17], would reduce the amount of •OH radicals. The presence of 2-propanol decreased the contribution of Fenton's reaction to the improvement of current output, suggesting that the active species to enhance current output were the •OH radicals from Fenton's reaction.

This study demonstrated that Fenton's reaction can effectively improve the current output in MFCs and the principal active species was the highly oxidizing agent of •OH radicals. However, the enhanced performance by Fenton's reaction declined quickly due to the rapid consumption of the reagents required for Fenton's reaction.

# 3.2. Sustainable increased electricity generation using Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF composite cathode

In an attempt to approach sustainable increased power output with Fenton's reaction, a  $Fe@Fe_2O_3/NCF$  composite cathode was made. Previous study has demonstrated the use of



**Fig. 2.** (a) Electrode potentials (red symbols: cell potential, green symbols: cathode potential, black symbols: anode potential) for the MFCs using NCF, Fe<sup>2+</sup>/NCF, Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/NCF and Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF; (b) power density (normalized to the cathode projected surface area) as a function of current density (same legends as Fig. 2a); (c) the voltage output versus time for the MFCs using Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF and Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/NCF over 15-d operation (at an external resistance of 1000  $\Omega$ ); (d) The performance of the MFC using Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF over 15-d operation. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of the article.)

Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/ACF (active carbon felt) in a heterogeneous electro-Fenton system, in which the iron reagent leached from Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fe+2H<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$  Fe<sup>2+</sup>+H<sub>2</sub>O) can react with *in situ* electro-generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [13,18].

Polarization and power density curves for the MFCs using NCF, Fe<sup>2+</sup>/NCF, Fe(CN)<sub>6</sub><sup>3-</sup>/NCF and Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF were compared and presented in Fig. 2a and b. It was clear that Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF generated higher voltage output and power density than other MFCs, which was a consequence of the difference in cathode potentials since the anode potentials of all MFCs were similar (Fig. 2a). Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF produced an open circuit voltage of 1.28 V and a maximum power density of 159.2 mW m<sup>-2</sup>, which was comparable to those previous two-chamber MFCs using Co-tetra-methyl phenylporphyrin (CoTMPP), iron phthalocyanine (FePc), lead dioxide as ORR catalyst [19,20]. The better performance of Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF than Fe<sup>2+</sup>/NCF may be due to the following two reasons: (1) the *in situ* reaction between Fe<sup>2+</sup> leached from Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> generated from the NCF is more kinetically favorable than the reaction between  $H_2O_2$ from the NCF and  $Fe^{2+}$  in the bulk solution; (2) the zerovalent iron in Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF would react with dissolved O<sub>2</sub> to form the reactive intermediates of HO<sub>2</sub>/•O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, •OH, which would promote cathode reaction [17]. Over the long-term operation, data (Fig. 2c) showed that Fe@Fe2O3/NCF was more capable of producing sustainable power output than  $Fe(CN)_6^{3-}/NCF$ , which only maintained 21% of initial value even undergoing a replacement of catholyte. The Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF electrode approached a maximum power density of  $341.4 \text{ mW} \text{ m}^{-2}$  after 3-d, and the performance did not deteriorate, even after 15-d (Fig. 2c and d). The sustainable increased power generation in the MFC was a consequence of the slow release of iron reagents from Fe@Fe2O3 that allowed the continuous generation of •OH via Fenton's reaction.

The improvement of power production in this MFC was mainly due to the high redox potential of •OH from Fenton's reaction. As a



**Fig. 3.** The concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  (a) and total iron ions (Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>) (b) versus time in the MFCs using NCF, Fe<sup>2+</sup>/NCF and Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF.



Fig. 4. The proposed pathway of *in situ* Fenton's reaction on Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF.

highly oxidizing agent of persistent organic contaminants, •OH can react with organic pollutants and thus lead to their degradation [21–23]. Thus, such an MFC configuration provides the possibility for simultaneous electricity generation and bio-refractory pollutant degradation.

To maintain an optimal pH for Fenton's reaction, the addition of hydrochloric acid is required, which requires an extra investment. Previous study demonstrated that low catholyte pH in MFC can be maintained without the need of acid dosage by using bipolar membrane [24]. In further work, we may consider using a bipolar membrane to sustain pH stability and thus reduce the acid addition.

#### 3.3. Proposed mechanism

During MFC operation, the concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  and the total iron ions (Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup>) in the cathodic compartment were monitored (Fig. 3). As shown in Fig. 3a, within 72 h,  $H_2O_2$  accumulated to a maximum value of 0.91 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in the NCF, while for Fe<sup>2+</sup>/NCF and Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF, the concentrations of  $H_2O_2$  were close to the detection limit. This suggested that: (1)  $H_2O_2$  accumulated in the cathode chamber via the two-electron reduction of dissolved O<sub>2</sub> in the MFCs; (2) in the presence of iron agents, the consumption rate of  $H_2O_2$  due to Fenton's reaction was much faster than its production rate. Demonstrated by Fig. 3b, the concentration of total iron ions for Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF reached a range from 18.9 to 21.4 mg L<sup>-1</sup> after 6 h, indicating the presence of iron reagents in Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF.

Base on the above results, we proposed a potential pathway for sustainable Fenton's reaction on Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF (Fig. 4). First, oxygen was adsorbed on the surface of carbon felt and the corrosion of Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> resulted in Fe<sup>2+</sup> formation. Next, the oxygen reduction via two-electron reaction led to *in situ* H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production. Finally, the leached Fe<sup>2+</sup> reacted with *in situ* formed H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, producing •OH and Fe<sup>3+</sup>. •OH then reacted with the cathode to form H<sub>2</sub>O, and Fe<sup>3+</sup> can be reduced to regenerate Fe<sup>2+</sup>.

#### 4. Conclusion

This study demonstrated that the •OH produced from Fenton's reaction can significantly increase the current production in MFCs. Although Fenton's reaction is not normally self-sustainable, this work successfully established a relatively sustainable Fenton's reaction by using a Fe@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/NCF composite cathode,

which produced a maximum power density of  $341.4 \text{ mW m}^{-2}$ . This MFC configuration provides a promising approach for continuous high power output and degradation of recalcitrant contaminants.

#### Acknowledgments

This research is funded by Guangdong-Hongkong Joint Breakthrough Program in Key Areas (No. 2008A030700004), Key Agricultural Project in Guangdong Province (No. 2008A020100010) and National High Technology Research and Development Program of China (No. 2009AA05Z115).

#### References

- H. Liu, R. Ramnarayanan, B.E. Logan, Environ. Sci. Technol. 38 (2004) 2281–2285.
- [2] B.E. Logan, Wat. Sci. Technol. 52 (2005) 31-37.
- [3] F. Zhao, N. Rahunen, J.R. Varcoe, A.J. Roberts, C. Avignone-Rossa, A.E. Thumser, R.C.T. Slade, Biosens. Bioelectron. 24 (2009) 1931–1936.
- [4] A. Alverez-Gallegos, D. Pletcher, Electrochim. Acta 44 (1999) 2483–2492.
- [5] B. Boye, M.M. Dieng, E. Brillas, Environ. Sci. Technol. 36 (2002) 3030-3035.
- [6] S. Irmak, H.I. Yavuz, O. Erbatur, Appl. Catal. B 63 (2006) 243–248.
- [7] X.P. Zhu, I.R. Ni, Electrochem, Commun. 11 (2009) 274–277.
- [8] R.A. Rozendal, E. Leone, J. Keller, K. Rabaey, Electrochem. Commun. 11 (2009) 1752–1755.
- [9] F. Lücking, H. Köser, M. Jank, A. Ritter, Water Res. 32 (1998) 2607–2614.
  [10] K. Swaminathan, S. Sandhya, S.A. Caramlin, K. Pachhade, Y.V. Subrahmanyam, Chemosphere 50 (2003) 619–625.
- [11] N. Ramaswamy, N. Hakim, S. Mukerjee, Electrochim. Acta 53 (2008) 3279–3295.
- [12] S. Freguia, K. Rabaey, Z.G. Yuan, J. Keller, Electrochim. Acta 53 (2007) 598–603.
- [12] S. Heguia, K. Kabacy, Z.G. Huan, J. Kenel, Electrochim. Acta 35 (2007)
  [13] J.P. Li, Z.H. Ai, L.Z. Zhang, J. Hazard. Mater. 164 (2009) 18–25.
- [13] J.F. El, Z.H. A, E.Z. Zhang, J. Hazard, Match. 104 (2009) 18–23. [14] L. Zhuang, S.G. Zhou, Electrochem. Commun. 11 (2009) 937–940.
- [15] X.M. Li, S.G. Zhou, F.B. Li, C.Y. Wu, L. Zhuang, W. Xu, L. Liu, J. Appl. Microbiol. 106 (2009) 130–139.
- [16] B. Tartakovsky, S.R. Guiot, Biotechnol. Prog. 22 (2006) 241–246.
- [17] A.I. Katsoyiannis, T. Ruettimann, J.S. Hug, Environ. Sci. Technol. 42 (2008) 7240-7424.
- [18] Z.H. Ai, L.R. Lu, J.P. Li, L.Z. Zhang, J.R. Qiu, M.H. Wu, J. Phys. Chem. C 111 (2007) 4087–4093.
- [19] F. Zhao, F. Harnisch, U. Schröder, F. Scholz, P. Bogdanoff, I. Herrmann, Electrochem. Commun. 7 (2005) 1405–1410.
- [20] J.M. Morris, S. Jin, J.Q. Wang, C.Z. Zhu, M.A. Urynowicz, Electrochem. Commun. 9 (2007) 1730–1734.
- [21] A. Özcan, M.A. Oturan, N. Oturan, Y. Şahin, J. Hazard. Mater. 163 (2009) 1213–1220.
- [22] K. Dutta, S. Mukhopadhyay, S. Bhattacharjee, B. Chaudhuri, J. Hazard. Mater. 84 (2001) 57–71.
- [23] S.H. Lin, C.C. Lo, Water Res. 31 (1997) 2050-2056.
- [24] A. ter Heijne, H.V.M. Hamelers, V. de Wilde, R.A. Rozendal, C.J.N. Buisman, Environ. Sci. Technol. 40 (2006) 5200–5205.